Attorney's Docket No.: 42P17373	PATENT			
DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION (FOR INTEL CORPORATION PATENT APPLICATIONS)	<u> </u>			
As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:				
My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.				
I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled RESERVATION PROTOCOL SIGNALING EXTENSIONS FOR OPTICAL SWITCHED				
NETWORKS				
the specification of which				
X is attached hereto was filed on (MM/DD/YYYY) as United States Application Number	i			

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, nor do I know or believe that the invention has been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.

(if applicable)

or PCT International Application Number\_ and was amended on (MM/DD/YYYY) \_\_\_\_

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Rev. 05/09/02 (D3 INTEL)

Prior Foreign Application(s	)			Priori <u>Claim</u>	
(Number)	(Country)	untry) (Foreign Filing I MM/DD/YYYY		Yes	No
(Number)	er) (Country) (Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)			Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Foreign Filing MM/DD/YYY		Yes	No
I hereby claim the benefit u provisional application(s) lis		ites Code, Section	119(e) of any	United S	States
Application Number	(Filing Date –	MM/DD/YYYY)			
Application Number	(Filing Date –	MM/DD/YYYY)			
I hereby claim the benefit up application(s) listed below a is not disclosed in the prior of Title 35, United States C known to me to be material Section 1.56 which became or PCT international filing d	and, insofar as the subje United States applicatio ode, Section 112, I ackr I to patentability as defin e available between the	ct matter of each on in the manner provided the duty ed in Title 37, Cod	of the claims o rovided by the to disclose all i e of Federal R	of this ap first para informat Regulatio	plicatior agraph ion ns,
Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/D	D/YYYY) Status	s patented, pending, a	abandor	ned
Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/D	D/YYYY) Status	s patented, pending, a	abandor	ned

part of this document substitution and revo	persons listed on Appendix A he t) as my respective patent attorn cation, to prosecute this applicat e connected herewith.	eys and patent agen	ts, with full power of	
& ZAFMAN LLP, 1240	(Name of Attorney or Ag Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor R. Alan Burnett (Name of Attorney or Agent)	ent) r, Los Angeles, Cali		
statements made of statements were ma are punishable by f United States Code application or any p	It all statements made herein on information and belief are being with the knowledge that wine or imprisonment, or both, and that such willful false statement issued thereon.  The statement of the statement is such that such willful false statement is such that such the statement is such that such the statement is such that such the statement is such that such tha	elieved to be true; a filful false statemen under Section 1001 tements may jeopa	nd further that these its and the like so made of Title 18 of the	
Residence	Tigard, Oregon (City, State)	Citizenship	USA (Country)	
Post Office Address	16322 SW Stahl Drive Tigard, Oregon 97223			
Full Name of Second	/Joint Inventor Shlomo Ovadia	<u> </u>		
Inventor's Signature	shlomb Oldler	Date _	8/6/03	
Residence	San Jose, California (City, State)	Citizenship	USA (Country)	
	1576 Willow Oaks Drive San Jose, California 95125			
Full Name of Third/Jo	aint Inventor			
Inventor's Signature		Date		
Residence	(City, State)	Citizenship	(Country)	
Post Office Address _				
•				

Rev. 05/09/02 (D3 INTEL)

## APPENDIX A

Ramin Aghevli, Reg. No. 43,462; William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. 42,261; Anthony H. Azure, Reg. No. 52,580; W. Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Jordan M. Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Todd M. Becker, Reg. No. 43,487; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; R. Alan Burnett, Reg. No. 46,149; Daniel J. Burns, Reg. No. 50,222; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Cory G. Claassen, Reg. No. 50,296; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Robert P. Cogan, Reg. No. 25,049; Donna Jo Coningsby, Reg. No. 41,684; Florin A. Corie, Reg. No. 46,244; Mimi D. Dao, Reg. No. 45,628; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,503; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Justin M. Dillon, Reg. No. 42,486; Sanjeet Dutta, Reg. No. 46,145; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; Mark C. Farrell, Reg. No. 45,988; Thomas S. Ferrill, Reg. No. 42,532; Kyle H. Flindt, Reg. No. 42,539; George L. Fountain, Reg. No. 37,374; Andre M. Gibbs, Reg. No. 47,593; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; Mark A. Goldstein, Reg. No. 50,759; Alan E. Heimlich, Reg. No. 48,808; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. 41,845; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Libby H. Hope, Reg. No. 46,774; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Walter T. Kim, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 44,188; Steve Laut, Reg. No. 47,736; Suk S. Lee, Reg. No. 47,745; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan C. Little, Reg. No. 41,181; Julio Loza, Reg. No. 47,758; Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765; Lawrence Lycke, Reg. No. 38,540; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, Reg. No. 48,095; Raul D. Martinez, Reg. No. 46,904; Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Clive D. Menezes, Reg. No. 45,493; Jonathan S. Miller, Reg. No. 48,534; Richard A. Nakashima, Reg. No. 42,023; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,835; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Robert B. O'Rourke, Reg. No. 46,972; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Gregg A. Peacock, Reg. No. 45,001; Marina Portnova, Reg. No. 45,750; Michael A. Proksch, Reg. No. 43,021; William F. Ryann, Reg. 44,313; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Jeffrey S. Schubert, Reg. No. 43,098; Saina S. Shamilov, Reg. No. 48,266; Kevin G. Shao, Reg. No. 45,095; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Ronald S. Tamura, Reg. No. 43,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; John F. Travis, Reg. No. 43,203; Thomas J. Treutler, Reg. No. 51,126; Kerry D. Tweet, Reg. No. 45,959; Mark C. Van Ness, Reg. No. 39,865; Thomas A. Van Zandt, Reg. No. 43,219; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Archana B. Vittal, Reg. No. 45,182; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. No. 41,364; John P. Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Mark L. Watson, Reg. No. 46,322; Thomas C. Webster, Reg. No. 46,154; Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my patent attorneys, and William E. Hickman, Reg. No. 46,771, Brent E. Vecchia, Reg. No. 48,011, and Lehua Wang, Reg. No. 48,023; my patent agents, of BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31,710, my patent attorney with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

## APPENDIX B

## Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
  - (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
  - (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.